

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28882 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA PS District- East Champaran

Bipin Kumar Singh @ Bipin Singh son of Awadhesh Singh VILLAGE-
BASBITA PS -LAKHAURA DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manisha Devi @ Pammi Daughter of Binod Kumar Singh VILLAGE-
BASBITA PS -LAKHAURA DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN P/A-
GANDHINAGAR, RAMNA, PS- MOTIHARI TOWN, DIST- EAST
CHAMPARAN

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rahul Singh
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Gulnar Begum
For the Informant	:	Mr. Shahbaj Alam
		Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 18-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State, Ms. Gulnar Begum and the learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 494
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner, being husband, has been falsely implicated in the
instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the
marriage of the petitioner with the informant was performed in
the year 2003 and out of the wedlock, two children were born



who presently are staying with the petitioner. It is also submitted that the informant, on her own volition, left the house and went back to her parental home in the year 2023.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that police after investigation finding the case to be true against the petitioner has submitted charge sheet. It is also submitted that informant was suffering at the hands of the petitioner since the time of marriage and since her children were young as such she could not take any bold decision, but after the children grew up and the torture continued, the informant left her matrimonial home and came back to her parental home in the year 2023 thinking that petitioner will take her back, but when petitioner did not come to take her back then she enquired when it came to her knowledge that petitioner has performed his second marriage.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant next submits that one can well imagine the plight of the informant who is the first wife of the petitioner that after putting in more than 20 years of marriage, she had to leave the house of the petitioner in an unceremonial manner on account of torture meted out. It is further submitted that now informant realizes that she was being tortured as the petitioner intended to



perform his second marriage. It is also submitted that one can well imagine the plight of the informant that how she is surviving for the last two years in absence of any financial support from the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

