

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32649 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2024 Thana- BHAGWAN BAZAR District- Saran

Sonu Ansari @ Shonu Ansari S/o Amruddin Ansari @ Amrudin Miyan R/o
Village- Sareya, Baduraha, P.S.- Dumariyaghat, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 13-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 187 of 2024, dated 19.04.2024, lodged under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code, pending before the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against four unknown accused persons, including the present petitioner, with the allegation that all the accused forcibly took the informant in their vehicle (Bolero) and looted his bag containing Rs. 70,000/-. It is further alleged that the accused persons also took his Aadhar Card and Debit Card.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR, and his name has figured during the course of investigation based on the confessional statement of a co-accused. He also submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Furthermore, he submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean, as one criminal case is pending against him.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has been named by the co-accused, who is the owner of the said vehicle. He further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. It is directed to the petitioner to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 6 weeks from today. In case, the petitioner surrenders within six weeks, then the Trial Court is directed to pass order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day without being prejudice that the anticipatory



bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court and the
Trial Court shall pass order on the merit of this case.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

