

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29920 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2024 Thana- NAUTAN District- Siwan

=====

Mithun Ram @ Mithun Kumar Ram S/O Jairam @ Jairam Das Resident of
Village- Baraipati, P.O- Nutan, P.S.- Nautan, District- Siwan, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rambabu Yadav, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nautan
P.S. Case No. 262 of 2024 registered for the offences under
Section 309(4) of the BNS.

3. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 18.11.2024.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to commit
robbery along with four unknown co-accused persons and while
committing so looted gold and silver jewellery at gunpoint from the
shop of informant.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submitted that petitioner implicated with this case, in view of his
self-confession as made in Nautan P.S. Case No. 263 of 2024. It
is submitted that on the basis of self-confession recovery of looted
articles were made from the house of petitioner, but same was not
put for material TIP for identification on the part of informant.



While concluding the argument it is submitted that petitioner found involved in two more cases, where he is on bail and moreover, investigation of this case has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, while opposing the prayer for bail submitted that on the basis of self-confession the looted articles were recovered from the house of this petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as looted jewellery on the basis of self-confession was recovered from the house of petitioner, accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith for the present.

8. However, as petitioner remains in custody since 18.11.2024, learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial, preferably, within a period of 09 (nine) months from the date of receipt of this order, failing which petitioner may renew his prayer of bail, if so advised.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

