

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29609 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-888 Year-2024 Thana- MANER District- Patna

1. Praduman Rai @ Anshu Rai @ Pradum Kumar son of Jogi Rai Resident of Village- Rewa Mahangu Tola, P.S.-Maner District-Patna
2. Mukesh Kumar son of Jogi Rai Resident of Village- Rewa Mahangu Tola, P.S.-Maner District-Patna
3. Nitesh Kumar @ Nitish Kumar son of Jogi Rai Resident of Village- Rewa Mahangu Tola, P.S.-Maner District-Patna
4. Basanti Devi Wife of Jogi Rai Resident of Village- Rewa Mahangu Tola, P.S.-Maner District-Patna
5. Jogi Rai S/O late Ram Lagan Rai Resident of Village- Rewa Mahangu Tola, P.S.-Maner District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Usha Kumari Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Pratap Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 23-07-2025 At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for and is granted permission to withdraw this application on behalf of *petitioner No. 1 (Praduman Rai) and petitioner No. 3 (Nitesh Kumar).*

2. Permission is granted.

3. Accordingly, this case is dismissed as withdrawn against petitioner No. 1 (Praduman Rai) and petitioner No. 3 (Nitesh Kumar).

4. Now, this case is confined only to petitioners No. 2, 4



and 5.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 110/115(2)/117(2)/76/303/(2)/329(3)/352/351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

7. The prosecution story in short is that the informant namely Ranjit Kumar alleged that on 14.12.2024 at about 07:00 p.m., all named accused persons including these petitioners came at the house of the informant and assaulted him and his family members.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 2 is own *Mausera* brother, petitioner No. 4 is own *Mausi* and petitioner No. 5 is own *Mausa* of the informant. He further submits that due to property dispute, simple *marpit* took place in which both the sides sustained injuries. Both the parties are co-villagers. Injuries are simple in nature. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

9. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.

10. Considering the aforesaid facts & circumstances and the fact that specific acquisition of assault is against co-accused



Praduman Rai and Nitesh Kumar and there is general and omnibus allegation of assault against these petitioners, let the above named **petitioners No. 2, 4, and 5**, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Danapur, Patna, in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 888/24, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

