

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28789 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-498 Year-2024 Thana- RAJAON District- Banka

=====

Bittu Kumar Raja S/o Manoj Kumar Yadav R/o Village- Ghutiya, P.S.-
Rajoun, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma- Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad- Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary- A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dharendra Kumar- Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 06-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Brij Nandan Prasad, learned APP for the State Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant Mr. Dharendra Kumar.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 140(3), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and is in custody since 02.02.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted and the informant alleges that petitioner is her son in-law. Further, on 07.10.2024, the petitioner eloped with her other daughter. It is next alleged that earlier also petitioner had eloped with her



daughter, but she came back on intervention of police. It is next alleged that when informant went to enquire about the whereabouts of her other daughter, she was abused by family members of the petitioner. Further, alleges that petitioner had married her elder daughter who was ousted from her matrimonial home for non-fulfilment of dowry demand.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant by the informant. It is next submitted that no doubt, petitioner is having dispute with his wife, as such, the informant, being mother in-law, falsely implicated him in the instant case. It is next submitted that other daughter of the informant has come back and she has not supported the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the bail application, but then, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant fairly submits that the daughter of the informant has not supported the case of the prosecution rather has stated that she had left her home on her own sweet-will, but then, not with the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner,



above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka in connection with Rajaun P. S. Case No.498 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

