

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28376 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-766 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - SHERGHATI
District- Gaya

=====

Dinesh Yadav, son of Shacho Yadav, r/o village- Chappi, P.S.- Sherghati, Dist-
Gaya

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunita Devi, wife of Dinesh Yadav, resident of village Chappi, P.S. Sherghati, Distt. Gaya, present address village Pachmah, P.S. Bankey Bazar, Distt. Gaya. (Bihar).

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Sherghati Complaint Case No. 766 of 2022 dated 18.10.2022 filed for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 494, 323, 307, 341, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation, soon after the marriage, there was additional demand of dowry and on account of non-fulfillment of the same, the Complainant/wife has been subjected to cruelty by the husband/petitioner. Later on, there was compromise, but even after compromise, the husband/petitioner entered into



second marriage with one Kiran Kumari and on protest, the complainant/wife has been subjected to physical violence and assault requiring her to get admitted in hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation made against the petitioner is not true.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail submitting that the Complainant/wife has been subjected to physical assault by the petitioner/husband requiring her to get admitted in hospital and in such situation, the enlargement of the petitioner/husband on anticipatory bail would be a travesty of justice.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the



petitioner stands rejected.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U			
---	--	--	--

