

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6471 of 2025

=====

M/s Kumar Ramranjan Singh Son of Late Parmeshwar Singh, a Proprietary firm through its proprietor namely Kumar Ram Ranjan Singh, Resident of Village - Jit Jhingoï Jamui, Police Station - Khairā, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Jal Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Sheikhpura, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Minor Water Resources Department through its Secretary, Jal Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Sheikhpura, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Jal Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Shikhpura, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Engineer - in - Chief, Minor Water Resources Department, Jal Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Sheikhpura, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Bhagalpur.
6. The Committee convened for Review Meeting for deciding the Complaint Against Notice Inviting Tender No. 05/2024-25 for Group Nos. 02 and 03 through the Engineer-in-Chief, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna being Chairman of the Committee.
7. The Members of the Committee convened for Review Meeting for deciding the Complaint Against Notice Inviting Tender No. 05/2024-25 for Group Nos. 02 and 03 through under the Chairmanship of the Engineer - in - Chief, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
8. The Chief Engineer (Planning, Monitoring, Ground), Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
9. The Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
10. The Superintending Engineer (Head Quarter), Monitoring, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
11. The Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. R.P.N. Tiwari, Advocate

=====



**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 14-05-2025 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. R.P.N. Tiwari, learned Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioner's bid was earlier rejected by the Technical Evaluation Committee. On a representation made by him, the Chief Engineer found that the case of the petitioner needed a re-look and that he should be held to be technically responsive. Nonetheless, that decision was subject to confirmation by a superior authority/Engineer-in-Chief. Later, a Three Member Committee was constituted to look into the entire matter and ultimately, vide decision contained in Memo No. 407 dated 25.04.2025, the decision of the Chief Engineer stood confirmed.

3. In view of the aforementioned developments, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned Advocate seeks permission to withdraw this petition as his grievance has been



redressed.

4. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/PKP

U			
---	--	--	--

