

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28347 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2025 Thana- KORMA District- Sheikhpura

1. Heeraman Yadav @ Bindeshwari Yadav S/o Suro Yadav
2. Ramjanam Yadav @ Ram Janam Kumar S/o Rajaram Yadav
3. Rajaram Yadav S/o Suro Yadav
R/o vill - Gagaur, P.S. - Korma, Distt.- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dinkar Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Renuka Ratnakar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 06-08-2025 Heard Mr. Dinkar Kumar, learned counsel appearing

on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Renuka Ratnakar, learned

APP for the State.

2. Petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Korma P.S. Case No. 02/2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 103, 61, 3(5) of the BNS and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused
persons named therein including the petitioners have committed
murder of the husband of the informant. Further allegation is
that reason behind the commission of murder is because the son
of the petitioner no.1 got married on the recommendation of the
deceased husband of the informant and from there on their
relationship became strained.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. Specific allegation of firing upon the husband of the informant is against co-accused Mannu Yadav and Ajit Kumar. Petitioners have clean antecedents and petitioner no.1 is in custody since 06.01.2025, whereas petitioners no.2 and 3 are in custody since 27.02.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the bail prayer.

6. Having considered the rival submission made on behalf of the parties, I find that there is direct allegation against the petitioner no.1 and as such, **I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner no.1 on regular bail.**

7. So far as petitioners no.2 and 3 are concerned, considering the fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against them and they are having clean antecedents, **the learned District Court is directed to release the petitioners no.2 and 3, above named,** on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Sheikhpura/ Successor Court in connection with Korma P.S.



Case No. 02/2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(ii) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(iii) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence, after their release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel their bail bond.

(v) The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners no.2 and 3, as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against them as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

