

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28947 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Araria

Ranjeet Kumar S/O Arun Roy R/O Village- Sohta Grihdharpatti, P.S-
Chhatapur, Distt.- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahila
P.S. Case No. 63 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 69, 89, 352, 351(2), 351(3) and
3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on the pretext
of marriage, the petitioner established physical relationship with
the informant due to which she became two months pregnant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is alleged to have established physical relationship on the assurance of marriage. Subsequently, for one reason or the other, the marriage could not be solemnized and for the said reason, the present case has been instituted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said relationship was a consensual relationship between the parties. He has further placed reliance on cases of the Hon'ble Supreme Court since reported in **2018 SCC Online SC-3100 (Dr. Dhruvaram Murlidhar Sonar versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors)** and **(2019) 9 SCC 608 (Pramod Suryabhan Pawar vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)**. It is further submitted that the doctor has found no any sign of recent sexual assault and there is no any evidence of pregnancy or abortion. The petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 63 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

