

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7137 of 2024

=====

Ravi Kumar Singh Son of Shree Narain Singh Resident of Baisora, PO
Ankaripur, PS Gosaiganj, District Faizabad(now Ayodhya), Uttar Pradesh-
224141. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Additional Chief Secretary-cum-mining
Commissioner, Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Buxar.
3. District Mining Officer, Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Advocate-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 08-04-2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the Mines Department and learned counsel for the
State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
truck of the petitioner bearing Registration No.UP42BT4666 has
been seized by the District Mining Officer, Buxar. Learned counsel
for the petitioner submits that as per Rule 56 of the Bihar Minerals
(Concession, Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and
Storage) Rules, 2019, the respondent No.3 has imposed the fine to
a tune of Rs. 2,89,460/- (Rs. Two Lacs Eighty Nine Thousand Four
Hundred Sixty) to the petitioner and apart from that, the truck in
question is put in the confiscation proceeding by the authority
concerned.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner is ready to pay Rs. 2,89,460/- (Rs. Two Lacs Eighty Nine Thousand Four Hundred Sixty) to the respondent No.3 within a period of six months on six equal installments.

4. Learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Mines Department have no objection in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the respondent No.3 is directed to released the ruck in question of the petitioner bearing Registration No.UP42BT4666 in favour of the petitioner after receiving 1st installment from the petitioner and respondent No.3 shall be at liberty to take appropriate action against the petitioner, if the petitioner fails to deposit any installment in accordance with law and when the petitioner will deposit entire amount, the authority concerned is directed to take decision with respect to the confiscation proceeding.

6. With the aforesaid direction/liberty, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	09.04.2025
Transmission Date	NA

