

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7720 of 2022

=====

M/s Patna Offset Press through its Partner and Authorized Representative namely Amit Kumar Singh aged about 44 Years, (Male), Son of Late Ram Naresh Singh, Resident of Near Dharahra Kothi, Naya Tola, P.S.-Kadamkuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner of State Tax, New Secretariat, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner of State Tax, New Secretariat, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Commissioner of State Tax, Commercial Taxes, Central I.B., Ground Floor, Annexe-3, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Commissioner, Sale Tax, Central Investigation Bureau, Patna, Commercial Tax Central I.B., Ground Floor, Annexe-3 Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Bihar State Textbook Publishing Corporation Limited through its Managing Director, Pathya Pustak Bhawan, Budh Marg, Patna-01.
6. The Managing Director, Bihar State Textbook Publishing Corporation Limited, Pathya Pustak Bhawan, Budh Marg, Patna-01.
7. The Secretary, Bihar State Textbook Publishing Corporation Limited, Pathya Pustak Bhawan, Budh Marg, Patna-01.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Ms. Aradhana Kumari, Proxy Counsel
For the State	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, GP-7
For the Resp Nos. 5 to 7	:	Mr. D.V. Pathy, Senior Advocate
		Ms. Anukriti Jaipuriyar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

23 17-06-2025 This case has been adjourned since 17.02.2025. At least four adjournments have taken place recently. On 08.04.2025, while fixing this case, this Court made it clear that no further adjournment shall be granted henceforth.

2. Despite this, a prayer for adjournment has been



made through a learned Proxy Counsel.

3. This Court called upon the learned Proxy Counsel either to argue the matter or since there are two Advocates in this case, one of them may come but instead of calling any of the Advocates to argue the matter, prayer for adjournment has been continuously made.

4. Mr. D.V. Pathy, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. Anukriti Jaipuriyar, learned counsel appears for the Respondent Nos. 5 to 7. Mr. Vivek Prasad, learned GP-7 appears for the State.

5. In order to maintain sanctity of its own order and to discourage a routine kind of adjournment, this Court is of the opinion that the writ application is to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lekhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

