

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27843 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2024 Thana- KAKO District- Jehanabad

Manoranjan Kumar S/O Lalan Sharma R/O Village- Saguni, P.O- Rewa, P.S-
Masaurhi, Distt.- Patna, (Bihar) 804454.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 12-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 254 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The F.I.R. is lodged by team leader of the company and the allegation against the petitioner is that he has fled away after stealing Rs. 3,23,523/- (Rupees Three Lakhs Twenty Three Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Three) from table drawer and the same got recorded in CCTV footage.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, at the outset, denies the fact that he has ever committed the alleged offence and as a matter of fact, the materials collected



during the course of investigation also indicate the fact that a person has been seen in the CCTV footage going with two bundles of note and it is only the informant who has identified him as the petitioner and barring the informant, there is no identification by any other witness. It has further been submitted that as against the fact that money had been taken from the drawer, the informant himself states in the F.I.R. that upon opening of his locker, he found the alleged amount to be missing and thereafter upon enquiry, the present petitioner has been made responsible for the missing amount, only on the basis of some vague CCTV camera footage. It also appears from the case diary that the petitioner appeared before the police and has already given an undertaking to cooperate in investigation under Section 35(3)(6) of the B.N.S.S. and he has not misused the said privilege. Further, there is no recovery of the said amount from the possession of the petitioner and he has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State opposes grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Without conceding to the allegations, an offer has been made on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner to deposit an amount of Rs. 50,000/-(Rupees Fifty Thousand) in the accounts of Nazarat of the Court concerned, which would be subject to outcome of the present case.



7. Considering the facts and circumstances including the fact that nothing substantial has transpired against the petitioner and he is cooperating in investigation, let the petitioner, above named, having no criminal antecedent, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jahanabad, in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 254 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and to the condition that as per the offer made by the petitioner, the bail bond of the petitioner shall be accepted by the learned Court below on showing receipt of deposit of the aforesaid amount in Nazarat of learned Court below.

(Soni Shrivastava, J.)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

