

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9910 of 2019

=====

Priyanka W/o Late Shambhu Kumar Jha Resident of Village- Bhatahiser, P.S.-
Benipatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board through its Chairman through its Chairman, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Electricity Department, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
4. The Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Circle, Madhubani.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Benipatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kripa Nand Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Subash Prasad Singh (Ga3)
For the Resp. No. 1-4	:	Mr. Ranjan Kr. Srivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-09-2025

1. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following reliefs:

“(i) For issuance of an appropriate direction, order or writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the compensation to the petitioner due to death of her husband from electrocution on 29.06.2018 and further, commanding the respondents to provide an employment to support the petitioner for survival of her life alongwith three minor



children due to aforesaid unfortunate death of her husband from electrocution.

(ii) For any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. At the very outset, Learned counsel for the respondents contended that since this matter is squarely covered under the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022 (Nizamuddin Ansari Vs. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited & Ors.)** by this Court in which this Court has passed the order in light of a judgment passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court in the case of **Chairman Grid Corporation of Orrisa Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Sukmani Das & Anr** reported in **(1999)-7 SCC 298** this writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and conditions.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties. And perused the records.

4. After perusal of the aforesaid judgment, the Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty



to make appropriate application for compensation before the appropriate authority.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment passed in Nizamuddin Ansari case (Supra) and also with liberty to the petitioner to seek necessary compensation by approaching the concerned Civil Court and in case, any such petition is filed, the same is to be disposed of expeditiously by the learned Court below.

6. With the aforesaid direction the writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Interlocutory Application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

amitkr/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.09.2025
Transmission Date	N/A

