

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28417 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2025 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

1. Ram Nath Ram @ Ramnath Ram aged about 59 years, Male, S/o Late Pati Ram
2. Manjit Ram aged about 18 years, Male S/o Ram Nath Ram,
Both Resident of village- Inamipur Harizan Toli, P.S.- Jalalpur, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-08-2025 Heard Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Kumar Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners seek regular bail in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(1), 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, all the accused persons named therein including the petitioners, with a common intention to kill, had assaulted the informant and one Priyanshu Kumar causing injury on hand and neck.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. Injuries sustained by the informant side have been found to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent and they are in custody since 16.02.2025. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on bail.

5. Learned A.P.P., for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. In the injury report, which forms part of the case diary, the doctor has opined the injuries sustained by the informant side to be simple in nature. Petitioners have clean antecedent and they are in custody since 16.02.2025. I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on bail.

7. The learned District Court is directed to release the petitioners, above named, on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran, in connection with Jalalpur



P.S. Case No. 32 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(ii) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(iii) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bond.

(v) The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

