

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26905 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-410 Year-2024 Thana- NOORSARAI District- Nalanda

Ramesh Chauhan S/O Late Jitu Chauhan R/O Vill.- Balchand Bigha, P.S.-
Noor Sarai, Dist.- Nalanda. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Sr. Advocate Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate Ms. Srishti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ashutosh Jha, Advocate Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate Mr. Bijay Kant Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-06-2025 Heard Mr. Rajendra Prasad, learned senior counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Ashutosh Jha, learned counsel appearing

on behalf of the informant as well as Mr. Ram Priya Sharan

Singh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
17.09.2024 in connection with Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 410 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 11.09.2024 for the offences punishable under
Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner over a
petty dispute fired upon the father of the informant resulting into
his death on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in



the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor on the basis of material available on record and the case diary have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it appears from the FIR that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has fired upon the father of the informant on his chest due to which he died on the spot. Apart from that the medical evidence (postmortem report) also supports the allegation as alleged in the FIR.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is direct and specific allegation of firing against the petitioner which is supported by the medical evidence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 410 of 2024 pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at Biharsharif.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

