

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26921 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1373 Year-2019 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

RAJAN KUMAR S/O Late Ashok Singh Village- Husena Khurd, P.S.-
Goroul, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Priyanka Kumari Wife of Rajan Kumar, Daughter of Binod Kumar Singh
Resident of Husena Khurd, Police Station Goraul, District Vaishali at present
Residing at village Chandrahatti (Usarba Tola) Post Office Chandrahatti,
Police Station - Kudhani, District - Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 24-11-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant

/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the complainant
/Opposite Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a complaint case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 03.09.2025, the matter was
referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High
Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 17.10.2025 it is



apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation due to absence of Opposite Party No. 2.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner in the year 2014 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the accused persons, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the complaint petition. Petitioner never committed torture to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 1373 of 2019, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) The Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 .

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

