

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27389 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2024 Thana- ALAMNAGAR District- Madhepura

Aditya Singh @ Rupesh Kumar @ Rupesh Singh Son of Gajendra Singh
Resident of Village- Khapur, P.S.- Alamnagar, (Ratwara O.P.) District-
Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Alamnagar (Ratwara O.P.) P.S. Case No. 166 of 2024 instituted
for the offences under Sections 302, 120B of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is of committing
murder of the Informant's brother Mukesh Kumar Singh who
succumbed on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.



The petitioner was not arrested on the spot and his name has surfaced in this case in course of investigation. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The specific allegation of firing is against the co-accused Rohit Singh, Jhaptu Singh, Manohar Singh and Bechan Singh who made firing upon the Informant's brother. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 24.12.2024 without any rhymes or reason. He further submits that the co-accused Sudhir Yadav has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 69345 of 2024.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. The Informant in his re-statement has fully supported the version made in the written report. He further submits that the co-accused Rohit Singh, in his confessional statement, has confessed his guilt and has also stated that he along with others, including the petitioner, killed the deceased under the planned way. The postmortem report supports the prosecution case. The I.O. after investigation has submitted charge-sheet against the



petitioner under Sections 302, 120-B/34 of the I.P.C. & 27 of the Arms Act and, hence, the petitioner does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the gravity and nature of offence as alleged against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

