

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25549 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2024 Thana- KAJI MUHAMMADPUR District-
Muzaffarpur

Vikash Kumar @ Sonu S/o Lakhn Bhagat R/o Vill.- Mahpur, Rampur Jaipal,
P.S.- Garhan (Ahiyapur), Distt.- Muzaffarpur At present R/o Vill.- Kafain
Chaudhari, P.S.- Bochahan, Distt.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Shekhar Anand
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial Case No. 602 of 2024 arising out of Kazi Mohammadpur P.S. Case No. 151 of 2024 dated 01.06.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 302, 394, 397, 411 of the Indian Penal Code

3. As per the prosecution case, police got information about an injured person. When they reached at the place of occurrence, found a person lying dead and raised suspicion that unknown miscreants killed him with sharp edged weapon or by firing.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has sprung up during the course of the investigation. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. There is no eyewitness to the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that as per para 40 of the case diary, the mobile phone of the deceased was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the application stands rejected.

7. The learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

