

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26990 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2024 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

Vikash Kumar @ Vicky Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Vicky S/o Gango Mahto @
Gago Mahto R/o Village- Pilhi Dhibariya, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 74 of 2024 dated 12.02.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 364A read with Section 34 of the I.P.C and Sections 25(1-B) (a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 11.02.2014 at about 2.30 P.M., the son of the informant, namely, Shyam Singh @ Prince, aged about 15 years, took Rs. 50/- and informed that he was going to the village- *Bhusanda* and he would return by the evening. When his son did not return in the evening, the informant started to call him on his mobile but his mobile was



found switched off. Thereafter, the informant tried to search him in the native village. At 9.18 P.M., a call was received on Mobile No. 6206134917 from the Mobile No. 6287668958 and was informed that his son was kidnapped and demand of Rs. 40,00,000/- was made from the informant. The threat was also given that if he would not give the said money then his son would be killed. It is further alleged that the informant suspected that Rishav Kumar Singh, Durga Yadav, Lalu Yadav, Sanki Manjhi and Jogindra Yadav and some other miscreants kidnapped the informant's son under conspiracy due to previous land dispute between them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The apprehended co-accused persons disclosed the name of the petitioner. No TIP has been conducted by the prosecution. The charge-sheet has been submitted and the charge has been framed against the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 13.02.2024. Similarly situated co-accused has already



been granted bail by this court vide order dated 16.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 23240 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that earlier the regular bail petition of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court vide order dated 19.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 46526 of 2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Gaya in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 74 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

