

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27037 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-350 Year-2022 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- East  
Champaran

=====

Sandeep Sahani @ Sandeep Kumar S/o Arjun Sahani R/o Vill.- Madhubani,  
P.S.- Sangrampur, District- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      20-06-2025                      Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the  
  
Petitioner and Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, learned APP for  
  
the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S.  
  
Trial No. 246 of 2024 arising out of Sangrampur P.S. Case No.  
  
350 of 2022 dated 21.10.2022 registered for the offences  
  
punishable under Sections 302/34, 304(B) and 120(B)/34 of the  
  
Indian Penal Code.

3. Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing for  
  
the petitioner submits that this is the third attempt of the  
  
petitioner to get the relief of bail and his last prayer was rejected  
  
by this Bench vide order dated 20.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc.  
  
No. 5385 of 2024 with giving a liberty to the petitioner to renew



his bail prayer after one year from the date of that order if his trial is not concluded within the said period of one year and there is no significant progress is made in his trial and accordingly, in the light of this liberty, he has renewed his prayer as the petitioner's trial is still running and out of thirteen chargesheet witnesses, only five witnesses have been examined so far and there is less chance of conclusion of petitioner's trial in near future. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 19.06.2023 having fair and clean antecedent and other co-accused persons are on bail and the petitioner belongs to a poor family.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the instant matter relates to dowry death of the wife of this petitioner and there is serious allegation against him.

5. Though the instant matter relates to a serious offence of dowry death and the petitioner is said to be the husband of the deceased, however, considering his present custody period as well as no significant progress in his trial and there may be some delay in conclusion of his trial in view of the report sent by the trial court with regard to the status of the examination of the prosecution witnesses, in my opinion, in the



said circumstances, the petitioner now deserves the privilege of bail. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court in connection with S. Trial No. 246 of 2024 arising out of Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 350 of 2022.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

