

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29893 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-410 Year-2024 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Raushan Sah S/o Late Devnarayan Sah @ Late Devnarain Sah R/o Vill.-
Mehsaul, P.S.- Runnisaidpur, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 410 of 2024 dated 14.11.2024, instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the marriage of sister of informant was solemnized with the petitioner in the year 2012 as per Hindu rites and rituals. On 12.11.2024, informant received information that on 11.11.2024, her sister was killed by the petitioner. When the informant along with his family members reached the matrimonial house of his sister then he found that dead body of her sister was lying near the pond adjacent to the house of petitioner. On query, the daughter



of deceased namely, Jyoti Kumari disclosed that on refusal of giving money to the petitioner for taking liquor, the petitioner committed murder of his wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner is the husband of deceased and four children were born out of their wedlock. On query, it was revealed by the daughter of deceased namely, Jyoti Kumari that the petitioner killed the sister of the informant when she refused to give money to the petitioner for buying liquor but police has not recorded the statement of said Jyoti Kumari under Section 180 of the B.N.S.S. It is next submitted that there is no eye witness to the alleged occurrence. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2024 having no criminal antecedents and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sitamarhi in Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 410 of 2024.

(Khatim Reza, J)

sankalp/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

