

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1327 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16731 of 2024

-
-
1. Indradeo Mistry Son of S/o Late Ramprit Mistry, R/o village- Rajan, P.O. Rajan (Cherki), P.S. Gurua, District - Gaya.
 2. Arjun Mistry, S/o Late Ramprit Mistry, R/o village- Rajan, P.O. Rajan (Cherki), P.S. Gurua, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, namely Sri Amrit Lal Meena, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, namely Sri Dipak Kumar Singh, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar Bhoodan Yagya Committee, through its Chairman / Additional Secretary namely Md. Naushad Ahmad Old Secretariat, Patna- 800001.
4. The Chairman / Additional Secretary, namely Md. Naushad Ahmad Bihar Bhoodan Yagya Committee, Old Secretariat, Patna - 800001.
5. Karyalay Mantri (namely Sri Chandrika Azad, the District Bhoodan Yagna Committee Office, Collectorate Campus, District - Gaya- 823001.
6. Dr. Thiagarajan S.M., the District Magistrate, Gaya - 823001.
7. Mr. Athar Zamil, the Circle Officer, Anchal - Gurua, District- Gaya.
8. Mr. Anand Kumar, the S.S.P. Gaya.
9. Mr. Sarfaraj Imam, the S.H.O. Gurua, Police Station, Gaya, 854205.
10. Sitaram Mistri, Son of Late Ramprit Mistry, R/o Village - Rajan, P.O. Rajan (Cherki) P.S. - Gurua, District- Gaya, Pin - 824237
11. Rambhajan Mistri, Son of Late Ramprit Mistry, R/o Village - Rajan, P.O. Rajan (Cherki) P.S. - Gurua, District- Gaya, Pin - 824237

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : AC to AAG-4
Mr. Rajni Kant Singh, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned

AC to AAG-4 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of

Bhoodan Yagna Committee, Shri Rajni Kant Singh.



2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bhoodan Yagna Committee submits that though the writ application was dismissed as withdrawn but then the withdrawal was with liberty that the petitioners will file an application before the Bhoodan Yagna Committee, Gaya, for claiming the relief as claimed in the writ application. It is next submitted that the petitioners, based on the order dated 29-10-2024 in CWJC No. 16731 of 2024, filed a representation before the Bhoodan Yagna Committee, Gaya and the Bhoodan Yagna Committee, Gaya considered the representation of the petitioners and rejected the same by an order dated 2-7-2025 contained in Memo No. 145 issued by the Secretary, Bhoodan Yagna Committee, on which the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the contempt application with liberty to assail the said order.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

