

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7265 of 2025

Valeshwar Prasad Son of Budhan Singh Resident of Village- Gohchak, P.S.-
Atri, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, I.C.D.S., (Integrated Child Development Scheme), Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Atri, Gaya.
6. Smt. Rumi Khatoon, Wife of Ekram Ansari Resident of Village- Gohchak- 2, P.O.- Teusa, P.S.- Atri, District- Gaya.
7. Smt. Sultana Praween, Wife of Eslam Ansari Village- Gohchak-2, P.O.- Teusa, P.S.- Atri, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Abhinay Raj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr. Advocate (AG)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 03-12-2025 In the present writ petition, the petitioner prays for the
following relief(s):-

*“1. For direction upon the respondent
authorities of the State of Bihar to
conduct an enquiry into the matter of
grave illegality being committed in the
selection of Anganbari Sevika and
Sahayika, in Anganbari Center-*



Gohchak-2 in connivance with the Respondent No.6 and 7 who have been appointed by illegal means only to benefit the influential family who are politically active and well connected.”

2. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 5. The following statements have been made in paragraphs 8 and 10 thereof :-

“ 8. That the averment and assertion made in Para-4 of the writ petition is wrong and denied. Fact is that Mapping Register has been prepared after proper verification of persons of Ward No.15.

10. That the averment and assertion made in Para-6 of the writ petition, it is submitted that it is a well settled rule that during the process of selection there cannot be any change in criteria. On this score averment made by petitioner in this para cannot be justified and not maintainable.”

3. Upon perusal of the statements made in the counter affidavit, it is evident that the process in question has been undertaken in accordance with the prescribed procedure. We,



therefore, are of the considered view that no further order is required in the present writ application.

4. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J.)

alok/
gaurav kumar-

U			
---	--	--	--

