

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19384 of 2015

=====

Satish Mehta @ Satish Chandra Mehta son of Late Kameshwar Mehta,
resident of Village- Nawadih Koiri Bigha, P.O. and P.S.- Aurangabad, District
Bihar. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The Dy. Collector Land Reforms, Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
5. Pachiya Devi wife of Sri Kameshwar Mehta resident of Village- Kurmaha Tola, Bishun Bigha, P.S.- Jamhore, District- Aurangabad.
6. Basant Mehta son of Suraj Deo Mehta
7. Jai Prakash Mehta son of Surajdeo Mehta Both are resident of Village- Kurmaha Tola, Bishun Bigha, P.S.- Jamhor, District- Aurangabad.
8. Krishna Mandal Chaubey son of Late Surajnath Choubey, Resident of Village- Ratwar, P.S.- Obra, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kr Singh No. 6, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ga12-Ajay, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ application has been preferred for issuance of (s) appropriate writ(s), order direction (s) for quashing of order dated 07.10.2015 passed in B.L.T. Case No. 199/2015 passed by the Court of Hon'ble Chairman, the Bihar land Tribunal, Patna whereby and where under, the B.L.T. Case of 2015 filed on behalf of respondents No. 6 and 7 has been allowed No. 199 setting aside the order dated 11.12.2014 of respondent



no. 2 passed in land ceiling revision case no. 320/2013, and order dated 22.10.2013 the respondent no. 3 passed in land ceiling appeal no. 63/2011 and the order dated 30.06.2011 of respondents no. passed land dispute case no. 3/10-11 against the petitioner.

Further the respondent no. 6 and 7 be directed to transferred the land in question in favour of the petitioner through registered deed in view of section 13 (3) of Bihar land reforms fixation of ceiling Area and question of surplus Land Act 1961.

And/or for any appropriate relief (s) to the petitioner for which he may be found entitled to in the eye of law. A photo copy of order dated 07.10.2015 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-1 to this petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the petition dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

