

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26212 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-286 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

Arvind Ram Son of Kameshwar Ram Resident of Village - Mahuadham
Kutumba, Police Station - Kutumba, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rohtas
(Amjhor) P.S. case No. 286 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 341, 323, 504, 307, 386/34 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution allegation, in short, is that all the
accused persons including this petitioner assaulted the informant
and her husband. It is further alleged that the petitioner has fired
upon the husband of the informant due to which he became
unconscious and fell on the ground.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the petitioner is in custody since 31.08.2024 and has six



criminal antecedents but, in all of them, he is on bail. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is neither owner of the disputed land nor had ploughed the same. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the ground of his having criminal antecedent while he was neither present at the place of occurrence nor has opened fire as alleged in the F.I.R. The petitioner has no intention to kill anyone. Learned counsel for the petitioner again submits that the co-accused namely Gabra Chaudhary @ Sunish Kumar has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 12.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 6072 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that there is specific allegation of firing against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody undergone by the petitioner and the prayer for bail being based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, ***after framing of charge if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Rohtas (Amjhor) P.S. case No. 286 of 2023, subject to the following conditions;

(i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(iii) The petitioner will not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below without its prior permission for the same and, in con-compliance, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

