

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25543 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2019 Thana- RAGHUNATHPUR District- Siwan

Sanjeet Singh @ Sanjeet Kumar Singh S/o- Shri Shivji Singh Village- Narhan
PO+ PS- Raghunathpur Dist- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Ms. Riya Giri, Adv. Mr. Shashank Shekhar Dubey, Adv. Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mrs. Nirmala Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 16-05-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No.193/2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioner and others are alleged to have concertedly committed the murder of informant's daughter under conspiracy for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail of the present petitioner has already been rejected twice by this court vide Cr. Misc. No.32875/2022 on 16.01.2023 and Cr. Misc. No.86296/2023 on 17.05.2024 with an observation to conclude the trial within nine months. He further submits that



since the date of rejection from 17.05.2024 till date near about one year has already been elapsed but uptill now trial of the petitioner has not been concluded. Learned counsel orally submits that even the charge has not been framed against the petitioner as yet and delay of trial is not attributable to the present petitioner as he is in custody since 23.02.2022 which indicates that the total period of custody undergone by him is near about three years and three months. He further submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him in the FIR. The petitioner bears no criminal antecedent. He further submits that there is no flight risk as the petitioner is ready to cooperate in trial and he will appear on each and every date till disposal of the case.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner but conceded to the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that while rejecting the prayer for bail of the petitioner this court directed the trial court to conclude the trial within nine months, but the same has not been concluded even the charge has not been framed.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody which is more than three years, trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and delay of trial is also not attributable to the petitioner, argument advanced on



behalf of the both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Sessions Judge, Siwan in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No.193/2019, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors shall be either father or mother or sister or brother or wife or the person who has sworn the affidavit in bail application.

(ii) Petitioner will co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates and absence for a single date without appropriate permission, would be a ground for cancellation of bail by the learned Trial court itself.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

