

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26898 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-537 Year-2024 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Sajan Raut son of Ramchandra Raut Resident of - Court Bazar Near Pani  
Tanki, Sitamarhi Ward No. 17, P.S. and Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Guddu Raut son of Late Ramchabila Raut Resident of - Court Bazar Near  
Pani Tanki, Sitamarhi Ward No. 17, P.S. and Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                  |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate    |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP |
| For the Informant        | : | None                             |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

615-10-2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State. None appears on behalf of the informant  
despite notice being validly served. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Sitamarhi P.S. Case No. 537 of 2024 instituted for the offences  
under Sections 74, 79 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023  
and Sections 7/8 of the POCSO Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on the alleged date  
and time of occurrence, the petitioner entered the house of the  
informant and teased his daughter and when the victim raised



the alarm, the petitioner was caught and handed over to the police.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that from perusal of the FIR and even from the Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim, it is evident that there is only allegation of teasing against the petitioner but there is no allegation of outraging the modesty of the victim is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is being dragged in this case merely due to some previous enmity. Learned counsel further submitted that charge-sheet has been submitted and cognizance has also been taken against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2024 and has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- ( Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court  
below/concerned Court in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case  
No. 537 of 2024.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

