

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25754 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-829 Year-2023 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Ajay Kumar Son of Late Vimal Yadav Resident of Village - Baruna, Bhikhua,
P.S.- Fatuha, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Mickey Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-07-2025 Heard Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Choubey Jawahar, learned APP for the State as

also Ms. Mickey Singh, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Fatuha P.S. Case No. 829 of 2023 instituted for the offences

under Sections 341, 307, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code

and Section 27 of the Arms Act in which charge-sheet has

been submitted under Sections 341, 302, 307, 34 of the

Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that this petitioner

along with other co-accused persons fired upon the

informant's son as a result of which he sustained injuries and,

later on, died.



4. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail which was earlier rejected by this Court on merit vide order dated 18.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 47944 of 2024.

5. In compliance to the earlier order of this Court dated 25.04.2025, a report dated 24th May, 2025 with regard to present stage of trial has been received which has been kept at Flag- 'A'.

6. From perusal of the aforesaid report dated 24th May, 2025 sent by learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-V, Civil Court, Patna City, it appears that the charges have been framed on 10.02.2025 under Sections 341, 302, 307/34 of the I.P.C. and summons to the witnesses were issued on 30.04.2025 but, no any prosecution witness was examined till today and total number of charge-sheeted witnesses to be examined are six. It is further stated that the trial is expected to be concluded within six months.

7. Learned counsel for the Informant submits that out of total six witnesses, three witnesses have already been examined and the next date is fixed on 08.07.2025.



8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, this Court does not find any new/fresh ground for considering the the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the learned court below to expedite the trial and concluded the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

