

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27372 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2025 Thana- RAJAON District- Banka

Rohit Kumar S/o Babloo Yadav @ Babul Yadav Resident of Vill.- Chhoti Ghutiya, (Shyampur Sanjha), P.S.- Rajaun, Distt.- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nagendra Prasad, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-08-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Rajoun P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 25(1-b)a and 26 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R. a loaded country made katta was recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the case. Petitioner was forced to sign on the seizure list in absence of independent witness to show that arms were recovered from his possession to support the allegation made in the F.I.R. Petitioner is in custody since



21.01.2025 having clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and having also perused the seizure list, as well as, the allegation contained in the F.I.R., I find that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Banka in connection with Rajoun P.S. Case No. 44 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(4) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature



of offence, after his release on bail, the trial Court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

(5) The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

