

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25388 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2025 Thana- YADOPUR District- Gopalganj

Pravin Kumar Yadav @ Guddu Yadav @ Pravin Kumar @ Praveen Yadav
Son of Gautam Yadav VILLAGE- JADOPUR SHUKUL, POLICE
STATION- JADOPUR, DISTRICT- GOPALGANJ

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Madhuri Lata, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Mazharul Hassan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-06-2025 Heard Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Madhuri Lata, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and Mr. Mazharul Hassan, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jadopur P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 11.01.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 233 (1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have threatened the informant to not to testify his witness into the Court in the matter of Jadopur P.S. Case No. 171 of 2024.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in



the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner was in Gujarat and it has come in paragraph-17 of the case diary and tower location of the mobile phone of the petitioner was found to be in Gujarat.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has come during investigation that the petitioner has threatened the informant to withdraw the case in Jadopur P.S. Case No. 171 of 2024 and apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that on the date of occurrence, tower location of the mobile phone of the petitioner was found to be in Gujarat which shows that petitioner was in Gujarat at the time of occurrence, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Gopalganj in connection with Jadopur P.S. Case No. 04 of 2025, subject to the



conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ 482 (2) of the BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

