

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25969 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-884 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Nawada

Manoj Singh S/o Kailo Singh Resident of Village- Jarga, P.S.- Tilaiya,
District- Koderma (Jharkhand),

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2025 Heard Mr. Man Mohan Kumar, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Narshingh Tanti, learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Nawada Excise P.S. Case No. 884 of 2024,
F.I.R dated 16.12.2024 registered for the offences punishable
under Section 30(a) and 47 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act
2016/ Amendment Act, 2018 & 2022.

3. Recovery is of 80 litres of the illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the
allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He
further submits that it appears from the F.I.R and seizure list



that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the motorcycle of the petitioner bearing Registration No. JH12F-4885 and the accused person, namely, Ajay Kumar was apprehended with 80 litres of the illicit liquor. He further submits that the co-accused person is the son of the petitioner and the vehicle in question is registered in the name of the petitioner and the petitioner has been made accused in the presence case merely on the ground that the petitioner is the registered owner of the motorcycle in question.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, the petitioner has clean antecedent and the petitioner has been made accused merely on the ground that the petitioner is the owner of the motorcycle in question, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Court, Excise-2, Nawada in connection with Nawada Excise P.S. Case No. 884 of 2024 , subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

