

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6853 of 2024

=====

Md. Athar Fahim Son of Late Md. Iftekhar Alam, Resident of Mohalla- Badi Khasganj, P.O. and P.S.- Sohsarai, District- Nalanda at Biharsharif.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Law Secretary, Law Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Patna High Court, through its Registrar General.
4. The Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna.
5. The Convenor, Co-Ordination Committee- cum District and Sessions Judge, Patna, Judges Court Road, Civil Court, Patna, P.S.- Pirbahore, P.O.- Banpur, District- Patna.
6. Centralized Selection and Appointment Committee-cum- District and Sessions Judge, Patna Judges Court Road, Civil Court, Patna, P.S.- Pirbahore, P.O.- Bankipur, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mohammad Abu Shajar, Advocate a
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA- 7
For the Resp. Nos. 3-6	:	Mr. Piyush Lall, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents to revise the result published on 07.02.2024 by the respondents Nos. 5 and 6.

3. The petitioner contends that the persons at serial Nos. 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the result dated 07.02.2024, who, like the petitioner, belong to the Extremely Backward Class were placed below the petitioner in the merit list prepared on 26.09.2018, pursuant to selection conducted in terms of Employment Notice No. 01/16 for the post of 'Clerk' in the Civil Courts of Bihar.

4. The impugned revised result dated 07.02.2024



published by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 appears to have been published in terms of Judgment dated 19.04.2023 passed in LPA No. 650 of 2022.

5. The petitioner, though has put to challenge the revised result published on 07.02.2024 by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 alleging that the persons in the revised select list dated 07.02.2024 at Serial Nos. 18, 19, 21, and 22 were placed below the petitioner in the merit list published on 26.09.2018 by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6, however, the petitioner has not impleaded the persons at serial Nos. 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the revised result dated 07.02.2024 as respondents in the present writ petition.

6. Under the circumstances, I am of the view that no effective adjudication can be made on the issue raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition.

7. In that view of the matter, this writ petition is hereby disposed of with a liberty granted to the petitioner to file writ petition afresh by impleading the persons at Serial Nos. 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the revised list dated 07.02.2024 as respondents, if so advised.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Siddharth
Sagar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

