

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27983 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2024 Thana- KHAJAULI District- Madhubani

Yadu Nath Singh @ Yadunath Singh @ Bhulla S/O Late Hiralal Singh
Village- Kanhauli, Police Station- Khajauli, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Advocate
		Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate
		Mr. Udeshya Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 08-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State as also learned counsel for the informant. Perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Khajauli P.S. Case No. 127 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 341, 323, 307, 302 & 120(B) of the Indian Penal
Code and Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 & 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 19.06.2024,
informant alleged that while inspecting uprooted pillars near his
house, accused persons including petitioner attacked him and
others, during which petitioner fired and fatally injured the
informant's brother. Neighbours rushed on hearing gunshots,



whereas the accused fled, and the injured was taken to PHC Khajauli where he was declared dead.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 20-06-2024. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that both the parties are agnates and there is subsisting land dispute between them. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Postmortem report corroborates the prosecution case, wherein cause of death is hemorrhage and shock caused by gunshot injury. There is direct allegation of firing against the petitioner, hence, he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being direct allegation of firing against the



petitioner, which fact is duly corroborated by the postmortem report, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for bail is *rejected* at this stage.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

