

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26894 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-982 Year-2024 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Parikshit Sharma @ Parikshit Kumar S/o Sri Niwaran Sharma @ Sri Niwas
Sharma R/o village- Dhanarua, PS- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate
	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Nawal Kishore Kashyap, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 31-07-2025 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner,

learned APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and

perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Danapur P.S. Case No. 982 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 103, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that son of the
informant was murdered by two unknown miscreants while he
was returning home after closing his mobile shop. It is further
alleged that son of the informant was murdered by the petitioner
as Rs. 6,00,000/- was due from the informant for which he
always took time and hurled abuse to his son.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence and sole eye witness of the case has not disclosed the name of the petitioner and on perusal of FIR it transpires that two unknown persons have killed the deceased. It is further submitted that no T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner has been made accused in this case on the ground of suspicion. The petitioner is in custody since 06.10.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and there is direct allegation against the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this



stage.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

