

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7064 of 2025

-
1. Kamaleshwar Prasad Sriwastava Son of Late Mathura Prasad Shrivastava, Resident of Village- Bhore, P.S- Bhore, District- Gopalganj (Bihar).
 2. Hira Prasad Singh Son of Bishwanath Singh, Resident of Village- Ramnagar, Sisai, P.S- Bhore, District- Gopalganj.
 3. Manju Singh Wife of Ravindra Kumar Singh, Resident of Village- Bhore, P.S.- Bhore, District- Gopalganj (Bihar).
 4. Madan Goswami Son of Late Chandrama Goswami, Resident of Village- Hata Tola, Gopalpur Post- Bathua Bazar, P.S- Gopalpur, District- Gopalganj (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director, (Secondary Education) Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Deputy Director, (Secondary Education), Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran at Chapra.
6. The District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gopalganj.
8. The Headmistress, Rajnarayan Smarak Project Kanya Uchya Vidyalaya, Bhore, Gopalganj.
9. The Headmistress, Manan Nagina Rai Project Kanya Uchya Vidyalaya, Kuchaikot, Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Chandra Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sudhanshu Shekhar, AC to SC-24

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners, four in numbers, who have retired from service from the post of Assistant Teacher of different project girls schools in the year 2018, have approached this



Court for approval of their services from the actual dates of appointment or from the date of the selection of their respective schools by the State Government, i.e., 12.02.1985 and 28.03.1985, whichever is later till 01.01.1989 in order to grant of their respective ACPs/MACPs.

3. Since the petitioners have retired from services as far back as in the year 2018 and the writ petition has been filed in the year 2025, I am of the view that there is inordinate delay in approaching the writ court by the petitioners, for which, no relief as prayed for by the petitioners can be granted.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed on the ground of delay and laches in approaching this Court by the petitioners.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Amrendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

