

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19901 of 2013

=====

Sudhir Kumar Son Of Sri Bindeshwari Ravidas Resident Of Village-
Bishanpur, Panchayat- Pando, Block and P.S.- Barhat, District Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Jamui
3. The Nodel Officer Cum-The District Project Officer Maha Dalit Mission,
Jamui
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Jamui Cum Chairman, Selection Committee,
Vikas Mitra, Sub-Division, Jam
5. The Sub-Divisional Welfare Officer, Jamui Cum Member, Selection
Committee Of Vikas Mitra, Sub-Divis
6. The Block Development Officer, Barhat Cum-Member Of Selection
Committee, Sub-Division, Jamui
7. The Block Welfare Officer, Jamui Cum Member Of Selection Committee,
Vikas Mitra, Sub-Division, Jamu
8. Mohan Manjhi Son Of Late Rameshwar Manjhi Resident Of Bhudanpuri
Matiya, Post- Khadigram, P.S. Barhat, District- Jamui

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jawahar Pd. Karn, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the Respondent-State.

2. With the consent of both the parties, this writ
application is being disposed of at the present stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for
seeking the reliefs as claimed for in the present writ application,
the petitioner has already filed a representation before the



District Magistrate, Jamui (Respondent No. 2) dated 26.08.2011 (Annexure-5) and the same continues to be pending. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if an appropriate direction could be issued to the District Magistrate, Jamui (Respondent No. 2) to dispose of his representation on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

4. To this prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State does not have any objection.

5. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of directing the District Magistrate, Jamui (Respondent No. 2) to dispose of the pending representation of the petitioner dated 26.08.2011 (Annexure-5 to the writ application) on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as to respondent no. 8 namely, Mohan Manjhi by passing a reasoned and speaking order. The entire exercise must be completed within a period of six months from the date of production of a copy of this order by the petitioner. Needless to emphasize that the final order which shall be passed by the District Magistrate, Jamui (Respondent No. 2)



should be a reasoned and speaking order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ application is disposed of. All pending Interlocutory Applications, if any will be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

