

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24930 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-416 Year-2024 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Rajesh Kumar S/o Anil Kumar @ Anil Sharma R/o
Village- Brahmarshi Nagar, P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Archana Kumari W/o Ravi Ranjan Kumar R/o Village- Brahmarshi Nagar,
P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Anita Kumari, APP
For Opposite Party No.2	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 03-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 85, 109,
352, 115(2), 127(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Sections 3 and 4
of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant alleges that she
was married to this petitioner in the year 2018 and after
marriage, all the accused persons named in the F.I.R., including
this petitioner, started torturing her due to non-fulfillment of



demand of dowry. Further, on 20.08.2024, co-accused Nawlesh Sharma, Rishiraj Sharma and Kunti Devi came and started assaulting the informant while her in laws were standing at the gate and were not allowing anyone enter to the house. Further, Nawlesh, Rishiraj kerosene and Kunti poured oil on the informant and alighted the fire but this petitioner, who happens to be her husband, doused the fire and thereafter, she was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is husband of informant and has committed no offence. Petitioner was not made an accused in the F.I.R. and his name transpired in this case during course of investigation. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that allegation of assault is against other co-accused persons. As a matter of fact, as per F.I.R., it was this petitioner who doused the fire and took the informant to hospital for treatment and as such, petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that as a matter of fact, the informant suffered burn injury but the said burn injury was suffered on account of the fact that her saree caught fire while making food. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel



appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-1st, Jehanabad in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 416 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

