

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30472 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-227 Year-2022 Thana- DORIGANJ District- Saran

=====

Pappu Manjhi, S/o Jawahar Manjhi, R/o Village -Sikti, P.S.-Parsa, Distt.-  
Saran at Chapra ... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      25-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection  
with Doriganj P.S. Case No.227 of 2022 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 394, 302 of the Indian  
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in the FIR  
and is in custody since 26.10.2022.

4. As per FIR, allegation against petitioner is to  
commit robbery and while committing so, looted cash of Rs.3.35  
lacs from informant who was the Collection Officer of the  
Bandhan Bank.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for  
petitioner that name of petitioner transpired during the course



of investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Biru Manjhi, in furtherance of which, no incriminating material recovered/surfaced during investigation as to connect petitioner *prima facie* with present occurrence of robbery. It is submitted that petitioner even not put on T.I.P. as yet. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. It is further submitted that the petitioner found involved in twenty (20) more criminal cases, where in maximum of cases, his name transpired on the basis of confessional statement as of present case, having otherwise no evidentiary value under law and these cases are also one of the reason for implication of petitioner with present case without any cogent material. It is further pointed out that if the merit of the case appears in favour of co-accused/petitioner, ordinarily prayer of bail should not be declined solely on the ground of his criminal antecedents and in support of this submission, learned counsel has relied upon legal report of Hon'ble Supreme Court as available through **Prabhakar Tewari vs. State of U.P. and Anr.** since reported in **(2020) 11 SCC 648.**



6. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as save and except suspicion arising out of confessional statement of co-accused Biru Manjhi, as discussed above, *prima facie* nothing incriminating transpired during investigation as to connect the petitioner with present crime of robbery, coupled with the fact that charge-sheet has already submitted, where petitioner remains in custody since 26.10.2022, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Doriganj P.S. Case No.227 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the CrPC/under Section 480(3) of BNSS.

**(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)**

Sanjeet/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

