

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.666 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Patna

-
1. Karishma Kumari Daughter of Sakaldev Yadav R/O Village - Harauli, P.O.- Naya Bigha, P.S.- Barh, District - Patna
 2. Sunny Kumar Son of Ram Equbal Gope R/O Village and P.O.- Bari Pahari, Sampatchak, Pahari, P.S.- Agamkuan, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police, Patna, Bihar. Bihar
2. The Superintendent of Police, Rural, Patna. Bihar
3. The S.H.O., Ramkrishna Nagar, Patna. Bihar
4. Rajkumar Prasad Swarnkar Son of Late Gopal Sah R/O Mohalla - Behind Brahmsthan Mandir, Mirchaiya Tola, P.S.- Alamganj, District - Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ravish Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Suman Kumar Jha, AC to A.A.G.3
For Respondent No. 4	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
		Ms. Sakshi Deep, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-11-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking following relief(s):-

"(i) For commanding the Respondents (Nos. 2-3), to check the nefarious and criminal activities of the private respondent No. 4 - Rajkumar Prasad Swarnkar, as he along with his henchmen are creating serious, illegal and arbitrary obstructions for the petitioners in



relation to construction of their house, and the present situation is such that they are fearing for the safety and security of their lives and family members.

(ii) For seeking explanation particularly from the Respondent No. 3, as to why he has provided all the leeway and tactical support to the private respondent while he has executed his plans and activities with finesse.

(iii) For also issuing complete and comprehensive guidelines to the respondents for maintaining peace and security.

(iv) For any other reliefs which the Hon'ble High Courts thinks proper and just in the facts and circumstances of this case.

3. From perusal of record and counter affidavit, I find that the disputed questions of fact are involved in the present writ petition. Therefore, the present petition could not be entertained by this Court since complex of title and possession are beyond the purview of the writ jurisdiction of this Court and hence, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the parties to take recourse of law in appropriate proceeding before appropriate forum.



4. Meanwhile, the State-respondents are directed to
maintain peace between the parties.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--

