

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FIRST APPEAL No.154 of 1997

=====

DHANRAJ MAHTO

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

DEONATH MAHTO

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Bishwa Nath Chaudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

25 27-11-2025

I.A. No.04 of 2025

This interlocutory application has been filed with a prayer that the parties have compromised the case in the trial court whereby the appeal was disposed of in terms of compromise vide order dated 12.03.2025 passed by A.D.J.-IIIrd at Arrah.

2. It has been submitted that both appellant and respondent of the present appeal are the respondent and appellant respectively in Title Appeal no. 20 of 2019. As such, it has been prayed to permit the appellant to withdraw this appeal in view of the compromise.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has got no objection to the same.

4. From the facts as detailed in this interlocutory application it is clear that the parties have compromised the case



in the trial court.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, I.A.
No. 04 of 2025 is allowed and this appeal is dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

