

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6480 of 2025

=====

Umesh Prasad Singh Son of Late Ram Khelaban Prasad Singh, resident of Village -Dabhawan, P.O. Dabhawan, P.S. Bhadaur, District - Patna at present residing New Area, Nathchak, Barh, P.O. and P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna, Main Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service (ICDS) IInd Floor, Indira Bhawan, Ram Chatitra Path, Boring Canal Road, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Rohtas (Sasaram).
6. The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Rohtas, Sasaram.
7. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram.
8. The District Social Welfare Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram.
9. The Child Development Project Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas, Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Verma, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mrs.Puspanjali Sharma, AC to SC-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2025 This petition has been preferred by the petitioner directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of second and third ACP/MACP, which has not been provided by them till today.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner joined his services in the year 1983. He stood retired from his services in the year of 2015. The benefit



of first ACP has been granted to him on 27.04.1996. After completion of twenty and thirty years of services, he also entitled to get benefit of second and third ACP/MACP. Even after his retirement, such benefit has not been granted to him. He further submits that vide Annexure P/6 series, he continuously represented before the respondent no. 09 and others but no decision has been taken by the respondent no. 09 till today. Referring to the Annexure P/9, he further submits that instead of deciding the pending representation of the petitioner, the respondent no. 09 called him in his office for no reason, therefore, it is prayed by the counsel that the respondent no. 09 may be directed to consider and decide the pending representation of petitioner dated 20.11.2019 Annexure- P/6 series within the specific period fixed by this Court.

3. The above prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner has not been opposed by the counsel appearing for the respondents-State.

4. Considering the submissions of made by the counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of. The respondent no. 09 is directed to consider and decide and pass a reasoned order on the representation submitted by the petitioner i.e. Annexure P/6 series, as early as possible probably within 45



days from today in accordance with relevant rules and law.

5. The present petition is disposed of.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

krishnakant/-

U			
---	--	--	--

