

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29202 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-446 Year-2024 Thana- PARBATTa District- Khagaria

=====

Puja Devi W/o Pawan Kumar Mishra R/o Village- (Hazari Tola) Dumaria
Buzurg, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria, Bihar Pin - 851216

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Harshvardhan Na

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 06-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant Nishant Kumar.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of six cases and is in custody since 14.11.2024 and is a woman and the informant alleges that on order of the petitioner, Vikram, Rishabh and Dilkhush fired causing death of her husband.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case along with her sons. It is next submitted that even presuming what has



been alleged is true without admitting then petitioner is alleged to be an order giver. It is next submitted that the easiest way to implicate someone is by alleging that on order of the person the occurrence was committed. It is next submitted that had the petitioner given order to her sons to jump from 28th floor whether they would have jumped. It is next submitted that no doubt the petitioner has antecedent of six cases but then most of the cases have been instituted from the side of the informant as petitioner and informant are agnates and are having dispute relating to property. It is also submitted that charge sheet has been submitted as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in jail.

5. Learned A.P.P. and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is not alleged to have fired and the petitioner and informant are agnates.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where
the case is pending/successor court in connection with Parbatta
P.S. Case No.446/2024.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

