

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24857 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-343 Year-2022 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

-
- 1 . Sheodayal Sao Son of Late Deonandan Sao village- Aiyara, Ps- Karpi, Dist- Arwal
 2. Ram Sagar Sao Son of Sheodayal Sao village- Aiyara, Ps- Karpi, Dist- Arwal
 - 3 . Hare Ram Kumar son of Rajendra Singh village- Santwan, Ps- Karpi, Dist- Arwal
 4. Baijentry Devi Wife of Sheo Kumar Prasad @ Shivkumar Saw village- Santwan, Ps- Karpi, Dist- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 . The State of Bihar
2. Mathura Sao Son of Sheodayal Sao village- Aiyara, Ps- Karpi, Dist- Arwal

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar , Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar , APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the

State .

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 323 , 420, 467, 468 , 471 , 120B of the Indian Penal Code .

3 . As per the prosecution case , petitioners are alleged to have sold the land of informant in favour of petitioner No. 4 Baijentry Devi.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that



petitioner No. 1 is vendor, petitioner No. 2 is identifier, petitioner No. 3 is witness of the sale deed and petitioner No. 4 is vendee of land in question . It is further submitted that complainant is son of petitioner No. 1 and brother of petitioner No. 2. The land in question is self acquired property of petitioner No. 1 . He had purchased the land in question along with his two brothers in the year 1979. After partition between the brother of petitioner No. 1 , the land in question came in the share of petitioner No. 1 and having right, title and possession over the land, petitioner No. 1 sold the land to petitioner No. 4. Moreover, the dispute involved in this case is with regard to sale and purchase of land which is purely civil in nature . It is further submitted that complainant has already filed a title suit No. 36 of 2023 in the Court below for declaring the sale deed illegal.

5 . Learned counsel for the State oppose the bail petition .

6 Considering the fact that dispute involved in this case is with regard to sale and purchase of land which is purely civil in nature , clean antecedents and circumstances of the case , in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioners, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the CJM
Arwal in connection with Complqaint Case No. 343 of2022 ,
subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the
Code of Criminal Procedure .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

