

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52347 of 2015

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1044 Year-2011 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE
District- Nawada

=====

Ravi Kumar Singh @ Ravi Singh Son of Ram Pujan Singh resident of village - Jagatpur Mahuari, P.S. - Basantpur, District - Siwan, presently residing at Mohalla - Tadong Bazar, P.O. + P.S. - Tadong, District - East Sikkim at Gangtok, Sikkim.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State of Bihar
2. Rajani, Daughter of Sri Brajendra Kumar Mishra, resident of village + P.O. Saghar Sultanpur, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District - Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53839 of 2015

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1044 Year-2011 Thana- NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE
District- Nawada

- =====
1. Ram Pujan Singh, Son of Late Sadhu Singh @ Late Bhuli Singh.
 2. Urmila Devi, Wife of Ram Pujan Singh.
 3. Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Singh, Son of Ram Pujan Singh.
 4. Jyoti Singh, Wife of Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Singh, All are resident of village- Jagatpur Mahuari, P.S.- Basantpur, District- Siwan, presently residing at Mohalla- Tadong Bazar, P.O. + P.S.- Tadong, District- East Sikkim at Gangtok Sikkim.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State of Bihar
2. Rajani, Daughter of Sri Brajendra Kumar Mishra, resident of Village + P.O.- Saghar Sultanpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 52347 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP.

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53839 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md.Ataur Rahman, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER



11 18-08-2025 Both the quashing applications arise out of same P.S. case number, hence they are being taken up together and are being disposed of by a common order.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

3. It has been informed by the respective parties that during the pendency of the present quashing applications, after *ex-parte* divorce, both the parties have performed marriage, as such, keeping the present applications pending will not serve the purpose.

4. In view of the above information, the parties may appear before the learned District Court and give information regarding subsequent development which has taken place during the pendency of the quashing applications.

5. The stay granted vide order dated 29.08.2023 is hereby vacated in view of the subsequent development which has taken place during the pendency of the quashing applications.

6. The present quashing applications stand disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

