

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 24467 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2024 Thana- BAHADURGANJ District- Kishanganj

Chandan Kumar Ganesh @ Chand Kumar Singh S/O Uchit Prasad Ganesh @
Uchit Lal Singh Resident of Village- Tulsiya, P.S.- Bahadurganj, District-
Kishanganj. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kailu Lal Harijan S/O Late Sharan Lal Harijan R/O Jurail Dahgaon, P.S-
Bahadurganj, Distt.- Kishanganj. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amal Kumar Sinha, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Bahadurganj P.S. Case No. 197 of 2024, registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 98, 99 and 3(5) of
B.N.S.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
informant Kailu Lal Harijan has alleged in the FIR that on
14.07.2024 at about 01:00 P.M., his minor daughter Madhu
Kumari (fourteen years) was playing outside the house.
Chandan Kumar (the petitioner herein) arrived on a black
motorcycle and stared at the minor with lustful eyes. He further
alleged that the co-accused Parshu Ram forcibly made the minor



sit on motorcycle and fled with her. The informant sent his son to chase but could not catch. It is also alleged that the family members of the accused including the petitioner are also involved into conspiracy to kidnap the girl with intention of selling her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He also submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He further contends that his name has been implicated simply because he happens to be friend of Parshu Ram, who as per the petitioner is alleged to have kidnapped the minor girl.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the nature of allegations i.e. of kidnapping the minor girl directly levelled against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

