

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24077 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2024 Thana- DALSINGHSARAI District- Samastipur

Ranjeet Kumar S/o Vinod Kumar Ray R/o Vill - Madhuban, Karihara, P.S.-
Sarairanjan, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dalsinghsarai P.S. Case No. 218 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 309(4) of the B.N.S. (u/s 392 IPC).

3. As per prosecution case, on 08.07.2024 the informant being employee in L & T Finance, was going towards Mokhtiyarpur ward no. 5 after collecting money from Manju Devi. In the way, five unknown miscreants on two motorcycles came and on the point of pistol snatched the informant's bag containing Rs. 25,600/-, P.S. Machine, projection sheet, paper of vehicle, rain coat, job I.D. Card and his motorcycle and fled away towards Mokhtiyarpur. Hence, FIR has been registered



against five unknown persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has been surfaced in this case upon the confessional statement of co-accused Ram Ekbali Kumar Paswan. Except confessional statement of aforesaid co-accused, there is nothing on record to connect the present petitioner with the alleged occurrence. He further submits that no looted article has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. Petitioner was not put on TIP. Petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2024. He further submits that petitioner bears criminal antecedent of one case, which is not similar to the present case and he is on bail in the said case. Learned counsel orally submits that charge sheet has been submitted in the case and there is no likelihood of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Dalsinghsarai, Samastipur in connection with Dalsinghsarai P.S. Case No. 218 of 2024, subject to following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors shall be either father or mother or sister or brother or wife or the person who has sworn the affidavit in bail application.

(ii) Petitioner will co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates and absence for two consecutive dates without appropriate permission, would be a ground for cancellation of bail by the learned Trial court itself.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

shahzad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

