

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.88 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21298 of 2012

=====

Shyama Devi Wife of Anant Yadav, R/o Village- Barhara, P.S- Narpatganj,
District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Araria.
2. The District Magistrate, Araria.
3. Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Forbesganj (Araria).
4. Circle Officer, Narpatganj (Araria).
5. Brahmdeo Yadav Son of Late Amir Yadav, R/o Village- Barhara, P.S.-
Narpatganj, District- Araria.
6. Anand Yadav Son of Late Kulanand Yadav, R/o Village- Barhara, P.S.-
Narpatganj, District- Araria.
7. Pawan Yadav Son of Late Kulanand Yadav, R/o Village- Barhara, P.S.-
Narpatganj, District- Araria.
8. Suman Yadav Son of Late Kulanand Yadav, R/o Village- Barhara, P.S.-
Narpatganj, District- Araria.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2025 Heard Mr. Binod Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

representing the State.

2. The present appeal has been preferred for the grant
of following relief:

*“for review of the order dated 31.07.2017 passed
by the Hon’ble Mr. Justice Hemant Kumar
Srivastava (as his lordship then was) in CWJC*



No. 21298/2012 by which the writ petition was disposed of and the impugned order dated 10.07.2012 passed in Case No. 76/2011-12 has been quashed and the D.C.L.R. Forbesganj was directed to ensure compliance of the previous order dated 10.01.2012 (wrongly mention as order dated 10.07.2012) and the writ application has been disposed of further for direction to the respondents not to give effect to the order dated 10.01.2012 passed by the D.C.L.R. Forbesganj which has been obtained by suppressing the fact that the dispute between the writ petitioner (Opposite party no.5 in the present review petition as well as the Opp. Party no.6 to 8) of the present review petition was already settled in suit being case No.4/2011 by the Gram Kachahari order dated 09.07.2021.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

