

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6495 of 2024**

1. Halima Khatoon wife of Md. Aiyub, Resident of village- Nunupatti, Ward No.01, Police Station and District- Supaul.
2. Juveda Khatun, W/o Late Md. Yusuf @ Yusuf, resident of village- Nunupatti, Ward No.01, Police Station and District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India Through the Secretary, Department of Roadways and Transport, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Director, National Highways Authority of India, New Delhi.
3. The Chief Engineer, National Highways Authority of India, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, National Highways Authority of India, Patna.
5. The Project Manager, National Highways Authority of India, Patna.
6. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Project Director, National Highways Authority of India, PIU, Supaul.
9. The Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
10. The District Officer-cum-District Collector, Supaul.
11. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                  |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Amrit Abhijat, Advocate.     |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Additional Solicitor General |
| For N.H.A.I.         | : | Mr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Adv.   |
|                      |   | Mr. Gaurav Govinda, Adv.         |
|                      |   | Ms. Anjali Kumari, Adv.          |
|                      |   | Ms. Preety Ranjan, Adv.          |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- |   |            |                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | 24-04-2025 | 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned<br>AC to SC-5 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the<br>NHAI.<br><br>2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the |
|---|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|



petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the writ application with liberty to avail their alternative remedy before the Arbitrator in accordance with law.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. It is made clear that if any issue of limitation arises, the authorities competent shall keep in mind that petitioners were before this Court since 15.04.2024.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

