

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25769 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2025 Thana- ISUAPUR District- Saran

Mukul Singh @ Mukul Kumar S/O Vindeshwari Singh @ Bindu Singh @
Bindeshwar Singh R/O Village- Datra Pursauli, P.S- Isuapur, Distt.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ginni Priya, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Asha Devi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Isuapur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2025 for the offence registered under section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act lodged on 27.02.2025 by the informant, Mukesh Kumar Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on secret information about storage of liquor by the accused, the house of Mahesh Singh was raided and there is recovery/seizure of 560.34 litres foreign liquor.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the house does not belong to him, only because of criminal antecedent, he has been implicated and is ready to abide by the terms and conditions, if granted anticipatory bail.



5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail submitting that he has criminal antecedent.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid facts as also that the petitioner does not own the house, FIR is there, he will have to face the trial, in that background, this Court is inclined to grant him the anticipatory bail with conditions.

7. Let the petitioner in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the order, shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Judge III, Saran at Chapra in connection with Isuapur P.S. Case No. 40 of 2025 subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family members/relatives of the petitioner, who shall provide official document to show his *bona fide*;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial court itself;



(iii) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for next six months to mark attendance;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

