

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3836 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12213 of 2018

=====

Dr. Alok Kumar Son of Ram Chandra Prasad Mandal Resident of Ram Rahim Road, Ward No. 4, Police Station- Madhepura, District- Madhepura at present posted as the Principal, Ved Byas College, Amileshwar Nagar, Police Station- Madhepura, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Lokesh Kumar Singh, the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Alok Raj, the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Taranjot Singh, the District Magistrate, Madhepura.
5. Dr. Sandeep Singh, the Superintendent of Police, Madhepura.
6. Sri Santosh Kumar, the Sub Divisional Officer, Madhepura. .
7. Sri Keshika Kumari, the Circle Officer, Madhepura.
8. Sri Vimlendu Kumar, the Station Head Officer, Madhepura Sadar Police Station, District Madhepura.
9. Chhotu Kumar, Son of Mithilesh Yadav, Resident of Village- Balatol, Police Station- Puraini, District- Madhepura

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

with
CIVIL REVIEW No. 93 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12213 of 2018

=====

Dr. Alok Kumar Son of Ram Cahndra Prasad Mandal, resident of Ram Rahim Road, Ward No. 4, District-Madhepura at present posted as the Principal, Ved Byas College-Amleshwar Nagar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Madhepura.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Madhepura.
7. The Circle Officer, Madhepura.



8. The Station Head Officer, Madhepura, Sadar Police Station, District-Madhepura.
9. Chhotu Kumar, son of Mithilesh Yadav, resident of Village-Balatol, Police Station-Puraini, District-Madhepura.
10. Dilkhush Kumar @ Mithun Kumar, son of Umesh Prasad Yadav, resident of Ward No. 8, Village-Bala Tola, P.S.-Puraini, P.O.-Naya Tola, District-Madhepura.
11. Pappu Kumar, son of Umesh Pd. Yadav, resident of Village-Bala Tola, Ward No. 8, P.S.-Puraini, P.O.-Naya Tola, District-Madhepura.
12. Anjay Kumar @ Pintu Yadav, son of Late Janardan Yadav, resident of Ward No. 10, Village-Maheshua, P.O.-Maheshua, P.S.-Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
13. Ravi Raushan, son of Kaushalendra Yadav, resident of Village-Sukhasan, Ward No. 10, P.O. and P.S.-Kumar Khand, District-Madhepura.
14. Bhanu Pratap, son of Madan Kumar, resident of Ward No. 6, Jai Prakash Nagar, P.O. and P.S.-Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
15. Sarita Kumari, Wife of Navin Kumar Yadav, resident of Village-Tamour Parsa, P.S.-Muraliganj, District-Madhepura.
16. Sarvesh Kumar, son of Sri Gajendra Pd. Yadav resident of Madhepura, Ward No. 14, P.S. Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
17. Sanjay Kumar, son of Sri Gajendra Pd. Yadav, resident of Madhepura, Ward No. 14, P.S. Madhepura, District-Madhepura.
18. Ashish Kumar, son of Late Virendra Pd. Yadav @ Birendra Pd. Yadav, resident of Mohalla-Madhepura, Ward No. 14, P.S. Madhepura, District-Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3836 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aastha Ananya

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Government Pleader 7

(In CIVIL REVIEW No. 93 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Additional Advocate General 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 29-08-2025

Ref:- MJC No. 3836 of 2024

1. List this case after four weeks.

Ref:- Civil Review No. 93 of 2025

2. Heard the parties.



3. Though the review petition has been filed by Dilkhush Kumar @ Mithun Kumar and others (proposed respondents) but instead of becoming petitioners they have become respondents in the present matter.

4. In my opinion, this review petition in such a form is not maintainable and the same is hereby permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to Dilkhush Kumar @ Mithun Kumar and others (proposed respondents) to file a fresh review petition in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, this application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

